

# Transcript: Ancient solutions of the heat equation with polynomial growth 02

Glen Wheeler (notes taken by Paul Bryan)

October 28 2020

## 1 Ten step proof of the Representation Formula

1. Monotonicity (via Li-Yau differential Harnack) (proof sketch)
2. Bernstein theorem for completely monotone functions (won't prove)
3. Apply Fubini
4. **Apply inverse Laplace transform**
5. Integral estimate
6. PDE for  $h(\cdot, t)$
7. Harnack inequality for measures
8. Radon-Nikodym
9. PDE for  $\frac{d\nu_x}{d\nu_0}$
10. Apply representation formula (Caffarelli-Littman) (won't prove)

## 2 Step 4: Inverse Laplace transform

So

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-ts}h(x,s)ds = \frac{1}{t}u(x,-t).$$

Bernstein gives extension of  $t$  into right half complex plane and heat equation estimates give Laplace transform is invertible,

$$h(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1-i\infty}^{-1+it} e^{st} \frac{u(x,-s)}{s} ds.$$

## 3 Step 5: Integral estimate

Recall that  $\Delta f^x(t) + \partial_t f^x(t) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$ . Testing against a smooth cut-off function  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^x(t)\phi(x)dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x)\Delta f^x dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta\phi(x)f^x(t)dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty se^{-ts}\phi(x)d\nu(x,s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty se^{-ts}\phi(x)d\nu(x,s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty e^{-ts}\Delta\phi(x)d\nu(x,s)$$

which is a Laplace transform again:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-ts} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta\phi(x) - s\phi(x))dx d\nu(x,s) = 0$$

for all  $t > 0$  and  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ .

## 4 Step 6: PDE for $h(\cdot, t)$

Define the signed measure  $\eta_\phi$  on  $[0, \infty)$  by

$$d\eta_\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta\phi(x) - s\phi(x))d\nu(x,s).$$

We've shown,

$$\text{LT}(\eta_\phi) = 0 \Rightarrow \eta_\phi = 0.$$

That is,

$$0 = \eta_\phi([0, t]) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \Delta\phi(x) \underbrace{\int_0^t d\nu(x, s)}_{h(x, t)} - \phi(x) \int_0^t s d\nu(x, s) \right] dx.$$

Side note:

$$h(x, u) = \int_0^u d\nu(x, s)$$

and so  $h = dx/d\nu(x, s)$  and we can integrate

$$\int_0^t s d\nu(x, s)$$

by parts. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta\phi(x) \int_0^t d\nu(x, s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \int_0^t s d\nu(x, s) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \left( th(x, t) - \int_0^t h(x, s) ds \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

Because this is true for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ ,  $h$  satisfies the integro-differential equation,

$$\Delta h(x, t) = th(x, t) - \int_0^t h(x, s) ds$$

hence  $h$  is smooth as the solution of

$$(\Delta - t) h(x, t) = - \int_0^t h(x, s) ds.$$

## 5 Step 7: Prep for Harnack

Fix  $x$  and consider the measure  $\nu_x$ :

$$\nu_x([a, b]) = h(x, b) - h(x, a).$$

Aim is to turn the equation for  $h$  into estimates for  $\Delta\nu$ .

We have (writing  $I = [a, b]$ ),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\nu_x(I) &= \Delta h(x, b) - \Delta h(x, a) \\
&= bh(x, b) - \int_0^b h(x, s) ds \\
&\quad - ah(x, a) + \int_0^a h(x, s) ds \\
&= bh(x, b) - ah(x, a) - \int_a^b h(x, s) ds \\
&= b \underbrace{(h(x, b) - h(x, a))}_{\nu_x(I)} - \int_a^b \underbrace{h(x, s) - h(x, a)}_{\geq 0} ds
\end{aligned}$$

using side calculation:  $(b - a)h(x, a) = \int_a^b h(x, a) ds$  and recalling  $h$  is non-decreasing.

Thus,

$$\Delta\nu_x(I) \leq b\nu_x(I)$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{\Delta\nu_x(I)}{\nu_x(I)} \leq b.$$

Similarly, from below,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\nu_x(I) &= bh(x, b) - ah(x, a) - \int_a^b h(x, s) ds \\
&= a \underbrace{(h(x, b) - h(x, a))}_{\nu_x(I)} - \int_a^b \underbrace{h(x, s) - h(x, b)}_{\leq 0} ds \\
&\geq a\nu_x(I).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\Delta\nu_x(I)}{\nu_x(I)} \geq a.$$

Let

$$c(x) = \frac{\Delta\nu_x(I)}{\nu_x(I)}$$

satisfies,

$$|c(x)| \leq b$$

## 6 Step 8: Harnack

The Harnack inequality for  $L = \Delta - C$  with  $I = [0, b]$  gives,

$$\nu_x(I) \leq c(|x|, b)\nu_0(I).$$

That is  $\nu_x$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu_0$ .

Radon-Nikodym then gives,

$$\frac{d\nu_x}{d\nu_0}(s) \leq c(|x|, b), \quad s \leq b.$$

## 7 Step 9: PDE for $\frac{d\nu_x}{d\nu_0}$

From the integral estimate we obtained,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-ts} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta\phi(x) - s\phi(x)) d\nu_x(s) = 0.$$

Now we are able to make a change of variables:

$$0 = \int_0^\infty e^{-ts} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta\phi(x) - s\phi(x)) \frac{d\nu_x}{d\nu_0}(s) dx \right) d\nu_0(s)$$

This is the Laplace transform of the signed measure  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta\phi(x) - s\phi(x)) \frac{d\nu_x}{d\nu_0}(s) dx$  so for  $\nu_0$ -a.e.  $s$  we have,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta\phi(x) - s\phi(x)) \frac{d\nu_x}{d\nu_0}(s) dx = 0$$

for every  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ . So, for  $\nu_0$ -a.e.  $s$ ,

$$(\Delta - s) \frac{d\nu_x}{d\nu_0} = 0$$

and  $\frac{d\nu_x}{d\nu_0}$  is smooth.

## 8 Step 10: Caffarelli-Littman '82

See also Kaprelovic '67 and Koranyi '79.

From

$$(\Delta - s) \frac{d\nu_x}{d\nu_0} = 0$$

we have the representation formula,

$$\frac{d\nu_x}{d\nu_0}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} e^{x \cdot \xi \sqrt{s}} d\mu_s(\xi)$$

for  $\mu_s$  a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

This completes the proof because,

$$u(x, -t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} d\nu_x(s)$$

implies

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} e^{st+x \cdot \xi \sqrt{s}} d\mu_s(\xi) d\nu_0(s).$$

□

## 9 Remarks on Manifold Setting

Replace  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with a Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$ .

For  $(M, g)$  complete with  $\text{Ric} \geq 0$  Li-Yau still applies to give

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^\infty e^{ts} h(s, x) dx$$

where  $h$  solves

$$(\Delta - s)h(s, x) = 0.$$

**Classifying solutions of this equation is the hard part.**

Key concept: Martin boundary. (Martin '41, Murata ('86, '93, '02, '07)

The general theory gives,

$$h(x) = \int_{\Sigma_0} P_s(x, w) d\mu(w)$$

for  $\mu$  a unique, non-negative Borel measure on  $\Sigma$  with  $\mu(\Sigma - \Sigma_0) = 0$ .

Here,

$$\Sigma_0 = \{[w] : P(\cdot, w) \text{ is minimal}\}$$

where the term *minimal* means that if  $0 \leq v(x) \leq P(x, w)$  then  $v(x) = P(x, w)$ . Also,

$$P_s(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma_s(x, y)}{\Gamma_s(x_0, y)}, & y \neq x_0 \\ 0, & y = x_0, x \neq y \\ 1, & x = y = x_0. \end{cases}$$

$\Gamma_s$  is the minimal Green's function for  $\Delta - s$ .

**Theorem 9.1** (Lin-Zhang). *Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold with  $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ . Suppose  $u$  is a non-negative, ancient solution of  $\partial_t u = \Delta_g u$ . Then*

- $u(x, -t)$  is completely monotone in  $t$ ,
- $\exists$  family of non-negative Borel measures  $\mu = \mu(\cdot, s)$  on the Martin boundary,  $\Sigma_s$  for  $\Delta - s$ , and a Borel measure  $\rho$  on  $[0, \infty)$  such that

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\Sigma_s} e^{ts} P_s(x, w) d\mu(w, s) d\rho(s).$$

*Remark 9.2.* The condition  $\text{Ric} \geq 0$  is not strictly necessary. It may be replaced by something like volume doubling and Poincare inequality.

## 10 Questions

- What are conditions on  $(M, g)$  such that

$$\Sigma_{s_1} \simeq \Sigma_{s_2}$$

in some sense such as under the motion of a Killing or conformal Killing field.

- Are there conditions determining the structure of  $\Sigma_s$  (compare Schoen for bounded sectional curvature).
- What is  $P_s$ ?